Remote sensing and photogrammetry techniques in diagnostics of concrete structures
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Abstract. Recently laser scanning technologies become widely used in many areas of the modern economy. In the following paper authors show a potential spectrum of use Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS) in diagnostics of reinforced concrete elements. Based on modes of failure analysis of reinforcement concrete beam authors describe downsides and advantages of adaptation of terrestrial laser scanning to this purpose, moreover reveal under which condition this technology might be used. Research studies were conducted by Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering at Gdansk University of Technology. An experiment involved bending of reinforced concrete beam, the process was registered by the terrestrial laser scanner. Reinforced concrete beam was deliberately overloaded and eventually failed by shear. Whole failure process was tracing and recording by scanner Leica ScanStation C10 and verified by synchronous photographic registration supported by digital photogrammetry methods. Obtained data were post-processed in Leica Cyclone (dedicated software) and MeshLab (program on GPL license). The main goal of this paper is to prove the effectiveness of TLS in diagnostics of reinforced concrete elements. Authors propose few methods and procedures to virtually reconstruct failure process, measure geometry and assess a condition of structure.
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1. Introduction

A majority can say for sure that concrete is most common construction material in all sort of widely understood engineering structures. Since the first concrete structure has been built, properties of concrete materials and construction technology become remarkably diverse. Simultaneously our knowledge about phenomena occurring in concrete has expanded. Despite great efforts of many people, an inevitable fact is that concrete, as each material, decays by many factors, such as rheology, high temperature or chemical environmental exposure. Deterioration of concrete affects appearance and behaviour of the structure. Symptoms of poor concrete condition might be visible as enormous deflections or cracking. Cracks may stimulate corrosion of rebars and lead to loss of adhesion which consequence is a decline of load carrying capacity. In many cases, preservation measures can augment structure lifetime cycle by many years. Proper repair strategy should be based on accurate diagnostics and ongoing assessment of structural condition. Appropriate decisions made by stakeholders, based on real information of concrete condition can
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save money and reduce risk associated with an investment. Comprehensive analysis of concrete elements is widespread issue that has been described in literature (Bencardino et al., 2014, Godycki-Cwirko 1992, Kopanska et al. 2016, Nagrodzka-Godycka et al. 2014, Windisch 1998). In literature dealing with teardown analysis of reinforced concrete elements, also reference to the modern measurement methods, including methods of image analysis and laser scanning might be found (Daliga et al. 2016, Dias-da-Costa et al. 2014, Diego et al. 2008, Gordon et al. 2007, Koken et al. 2014, Kwak et al. 2013, Lichti et al. 2011, Olsen et al. 2010, Qi et al. 2014, Teza et al. 2009). The study presented in the paper, made by TLS and digital photogrammetry methods, focused on the visual assessment of reinforced concrete beam, in contrary to studies using eg. ultrasound to insight into internal concrete condition (Moradi-Marani et al. 2014, Rucka et al. 2013). The authors decided to evaluate the mode of failure, through analysis of cracks on a surface of reinforced concrete beams using TLS. Extended analysis and verification process of proposed methods based on the use of TLS were carried out with synchronous photography supported by photogrammetric solutions and computer vision. Previous research conducted by the authors (Janowski et al. 2014.2, Nagrodzka-Godycka et al. 2014) indicate the importance of photogrammetry and laser scanning data analysis (Janowski et al. 2013, Blaszczak-Bak et al. 2015) in an improvement of quality and accuracy improvement in reinforced concrete diagnostics. The approach described in this paper can be used not only for analysis of reinforced concrete beams but also for other structural elements as well, for study purposes test set-up was prepared.

Fig. 1 Test set-up, beam located under hydraulic jack and prepared for measurement.
2. Research object, environment.

The paper presents an analysis of data from the registered overloading process of reinforced concrete beam (Fig. 1). Terrestrial laser scanner measurement was set up to gather as much information as possible from registered failure process. The beam was designed to fail by shear. In order to obtain an expected mode of the failure, the bending zone had strong longitudinal flexural reinforcement while shear zone had insufficient transverse reinforcement (Fig. 3), this action caused failure by shear. The beam was made of concrete C25/30 and reinforcing steel A-IIIIN. Schematic view of the beam is shown in Figure 2, test set-up in Figure 3.

Research have been carried out in the Regional Laboratory of Civil Engineering at Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Concrete Structure Department, at Gdansk University of Technology. Previously prepared concrete beam was installed in a special set-up by crane hoist.
The whole configuration simulated static scheme assumed by authors, whereby the position of the beam was strictly set. To fulfil predefined condition, semi-circular steel supports and I-beam steel traverse were used to imitate hinged support and two symmetrically point loads. Load from the hydraulic stand was applied by I-beam steel traverse and two wooden pads in increments of 10kN per stage until failure (Table 1). After each load increment, hydraulic stand was stopped and the beam was scanned by the terrestrial laser scanner. Surface morphology and crack growth have been controlled using Brinell Magnifier. Moreover, deflection control was provided by using dial deflectometer. For further studies, two synchronous cameras were used in order to make digital close range photogrammetry verification.

**Table 1** The increase of load in the course of overloading (Legend: **underline** - failure load / italic – cracks occurrence).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1 [kN]</th>
<th>Stage 2 [kN]</th>
<th>Stage 3 [kN]</th>
<th>Stage 4 [kN]</th>
<th>Stage 5 [kN]</th>
<th>Stage 6 [kN]</th>
<th>Stage 7 [kN]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td><strong>50.00</strong></td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3. Data processing**

The entire overloading process was captured by professional terrestrial laser scanner Leica ScanStation C10. ScanStation C10 belong to TLS genus, which gives an ability to the independent adjusting of horizontal and vertical resolution. This functionality allows to optimize scanning time and can be an asset during measurement held by terrestrial as well as mobile scanning (Burdziakowski et al. 2015, Nagrodzka-Godycka et al. 2014). To prevent an occurrence of crucial linking and matching correlation errors, the position of scanner did not change during the whole measurement process. Authors have made special preparations of lateral beam surface, engobed and grillage has been made with regular 100 mm rectangular grid. In tangle points of the plotted lines, circular tags with a diameter of 6 mm were deployed. Measurements were performed in two resolutions: medium (default) and predefined high (distance: 100.00 m; horizontal: 0.05 m; vertical: 0.01 m). Considering the close distance between object and scanner genuine resolution was even higher (horizontal: 0.0025 m; vertical 0.0005 m). Due to selecting higher resolution image detail has been improved in the post-processing stage. The medium resolution was characterized by less image detail. High resolution is significant to capture cracks occurrence (Fig. 4). The beam has been scanned along its entire length until it reaches failure by shear.

![Fig. 4 View of reinforced concrete beam support in the Cyclone (stage 6, table 1). Measuring width of a cracks.](image)
Data collected during the measurement were post-processed in program Cyclone provided by Leica Geosystems. Each sample has been placed in one unified coordinate system.

4. Scanning data analysis methods

Proper analysis of measurement data was carried out in two programs. The first program was Cyclone which is dedicated software to operate with data from ScanStation C10 scanner. The second program was MeshLab, an open source and extensible system to work with the point cloud.

4.1 Spheres Translation Method

Method is based on a conversion of physical virtual tags mapping to a virtual spherical mesh object. In simpler terms method boils down to selecting characteristic points in point cloud model, in the case of these studies, markers were presented in the form of discs with 6mm diameter previously placed on the lateral surface of the beam (Fig. 5). After registration (scanning), followed by mapping for subsequent stages of the beam load, points constituting markers should be transformed into polygon meshes (constructed of primitives) approximating a sphere. Fixed coordinate system (common to subsequent measurements) is essential. Next step is to transfer of polygon objects (spheres) to subsequent measurements stage with an identical coordinate system. However, before the spheres will be transferred to next model space (after load increment), it should be borne in mind that in new model space characteristic tags should be prepared previously in the same way as above. Consequently, as a result, differences in position of the spheres in the same coordinate system should be seen. The difference in spheres coordinates for subsequent stages should be identified as a translation spheres vector, which shows the change of marker position in time. Classical methods of reinforced concrete elements diagnostics, such as dial deflectometer measurement, allow obtaining only vertical displacement value. On the contrary to traditional methods of measurement, spheres translation method allows to identify and measure subtle changes in dimension and location of tags in every direction. One of the most important advantages of this method is a complexity of obtained result. The method is illustrated in the example of the beam geometry changes (Table 2).

![Fig. 5 View at measurement station and lateral surface of beam with marked characteristic points - 12 points were selected for analyses](image)

Table 2 Comparison of deflection values, measured directly using dial deflectometer and based on spheres
Comparison of the results from model obtained by TLS and those by using measurements derived from synchronous images - according to the method described in Chapter 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement epoch</th>
<th>Load [kN]</th>
<th>Deflection [mm]</th>
<th>Deflection in accordance with scan model / photogrammetry model measurements [mm]</th>
<th>Deflection value difference [mm]</th>
<th>Scan view</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.00 / 1.25</td>
<td>+0.81 / +0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>4.00 / 3.56</td>
<td>-0.68 / -0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>6.00 / 5.92</td>
<td>-0.11 / -0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.00 / 12.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Colour Mapping Method

TLS data may be used to study deterioration of concrete surface. Points colour mapping allows tracking cracks propagation in element over time. In the case of reinforced concrete beams, cracks appear in middle part of the beam at failure due to bending, at failure due to shear, cracks occur in support zone. Each point cloud has a certain range of intensity, resulting from maximum and minimum intensity values of sample points. The maximum and minimum values are imposed on marginal colour values of particularized range; this allocation is called a map of intensity. Investigation of point cloud distribution without superimposed map of intensity is not sufficient to monitor structure. During analysis, the authors determined the only faint outline of main failure cracks, which could not constitute a ground for the precise study of this element (Table 3 – rows 1-2). Point cloud with the superimposed map of intensity provides us much more accurate data. Properly applied map of intensity scheme was a significant contribution to extract from TLS data full information of cracks propagation. Imposed intensity map might by modifying, mapping for various point clouds are selected severally by the software. Colour mapping intensity ranges, the so-called “schemes”, can be modified due to particular needs. Change of colour scope in intensity map significantly improve a visibility of cracks propagation (Table 3 – rows 3-6, Table 4).
Table 3 Analysis of point cloud distribution with and without superimposed maps of intensity units (Leica Cyclone view).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>MODE OF FAILURE ANALYSIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No scheme</td>
<td>View of the scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No scheme with marked crack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Hue/Rainbow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Hue/Rainbow with marked cracks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topo 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topo 3 with marked cracks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 The progress of the beam overloading process (MeshLab view).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load stage No.</th>
<th>BEAM (SCHEME: MESHLAB RGB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>View of the scan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to identify most suitable scheme for research purposes, the analysis was conducted. Compiled data come from the survey of the beam, which reached failure by shear. Information about the geometry of the beam was exported from Leica Cyclone .PTX format and imported into software MeshLab for further analysis. Below authors summarized a couple of schemes that were selected as the best-matching (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Load [kN]</th>
<th>Cracks in real element</th>
<th>Cracks in virtual model</th>
<th>View of the scan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Comparative analysis of experimental results with the results obtained from TLS scans
The table provides the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>140.70</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A: Flexural (bending) cracks; B: Shear cracks; C: Failure mode onset.

5. Close range photogrammetry and image analysis of geometry as a tool for verifying experiment with TLS.

5.1 Brief introduction

To evaluate results of measurements obtained from TLS authors used the proven method of digital photogrammetry. Photogrammetry involves using the stereo pair of synchronous images. Synchronous images are snapshots taken at one time by two cameras placed in various positions represented by projections centre of the camera lens $O_1$ and $O_2$. Further images were taken with continuous loading. On the cameras imaging planes location of the actual point on the object (P) in left ($p_1$) and right ($p_2$) image coordinates shall be identified and fixed by camera calibration matrix due to meet the requirements of a stereoscopic model. Obtained representation of points ($p_1$, $p_2$) with camera projections centres ($O_1, O_2$) shall be combined in order to generate $V_1$ and $V_2$ vectors. The offset of a centre of the right camera $O_2$ in relation to the centre of left the camera $O_1$ is $T$ vector (Fig. 6).

The combination of these three vectors is positioned on one plane surface. Realization of 3D model reproduction from stereoscopic images by using computer vision is based on a fundamental assumption that two vectors $V_1$ and $V_2$ are co-planar. Co-planarity of normalized vectors of points $p_1$ and $p_2$ is essential using stereoscopy and photogrammetry (Hartley 1997, Paszotta et al. 2010, Kohut et al. 2012, Hejmanowska et al. 2015).
5.2 Mathematical apparatus – description

After the images acquisition process, point position measurement in pixel coordinates system of each image \((X_{\text{pix}}, Y_{\text{pix}})\) can be performed. Later in the mathematical description, general formulas for the cameras will be indicated, the formulas should be applied separately for the camera 1 and camera 2. In theoretical coordinate system, it can be assumed that, spatial position of the point \(P (X_T, Y_T, Z_T)\) is known in the camera coordinate system whose centre is located in the middle of camera projection, \(Z\) axis runs perpendicular to the plane of the images and is aimed from the centre of the plane projection in the direction of the image surface. \(X\) and \(Y\) axes coincide with the directions of pixel columns and rows, which forms together with the \(Z\) axis clockwise scheme (Fig. 7).
Fig. 7 Theoretical scheme of point P registration on the plane of the image.

Projected ray which runs through the point P and centre of coordination system intersects the plane of the image in point P_C. Assuming that P_T and P_C points are in homogeneous coordination system and the distance between plane of image and projection centre is f (focal length of camera) the following equation is true:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_T \\ Y_T \\ Z_T \end{bmatrix}$$  \tag{1}$$

Due to the occurrence of registered images influence of radial and tangential distortions and eccentric position of projection centres (in relation to the image) in the process of obtaining vector components $X_c, Y_c$ should be corrected by using previously determined camera calibration matrix $K$ (Duane 1971, Fryer et al. 1986).

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & \gamma & x_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_y & y_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

$x_0, y_0$ - coordinates of origin point in pixels,

$\gamma$ - represents the skew coefficient between the x and y-axis, and is often 0,

$\alpha_x = f \cdot m_x$, $\alpha_y = f \cdot m_y$ - represent focal length in terms of pixels,

$m_x, m_y$ - scale factors relating pixels to distance.

Then corrected coefficients $X_c, Y_c, Z_c$ will be expressed by the formula:
Expression (3) is performed separately for the registrations of point P by camera 1 and by camera 2 using two proper matrices K (respectively for camera 1 and camera 2). Moving on to flat homogeneous, normalized (taking into account notation from Fig. 6) coordinates, it can be assumed that nonlinear vectors $V_1, V_2, T$ are co-planar which is expressed by the equation:

$$V_1 \cdot (T \times V_2) = 0$$

(4)

whereas:

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

(5)

$V_1$ - normalized vector of point p1 (projected point P on image1) described in camera 1 coordination system.
$V_2$ - normalized vector of point p2 (projected point P on image2) described in camera 2 coordination system.
$T$ - translation vector of centre of projection of camera 2 in relation to the position of the centre of projection of camera 1.

Implementation of the condition (4) requires presentation of $V_2$ in camera 1 coordination system. If both the rotation and translation T will be presented as transformations matrices in homogeneous coordinate system, then (4) can be described:

$$V_1^T E V_2 = 0$$

(6)

where:

$$E = [T]^T R = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} & E_{13} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} & E_{23} \\ E_{31} & E_{32} & E_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

(7)

moreover:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

(8)

is unknown in terms of the value of rotation matrix. E matrix is called an essential matrix and illustrates the interrelation of homologous points in the shared 3D space, expressed in the camera 1
system (using pinhole cameras). When the matrix $E$ is formed as multiplication of translation and rotation matrix includes two equal non-zero singular values and one singular value equal to zero. Constraint (6) can be rewritten as

$$a^T \cdot e = 0$$

(9)

where

$$e = [E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{21}, E_{22}, E_{23}, E_{31}, E_{32}, E_{33}]^T$$

(10)

and

$$a = [x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]^T$$

(11)

When you have given a set of $n$ corresponding image points you have matrix $A$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

(12)

This gives finally linear equation

$$Ae = 0$$

(13)

and the possibility to obtain the vector $e$ and matrix $E$ (7).

We make the additional constraints: $||e|| = 1$, $E_{33} = 1$ and solving (13) as a linear least squares minimization problem – it needs at least 8 point matches on two images. Errors of measurement and point identification result that this assumption is not entirely numerically correct. By adopting a substitute, for the matrix $E$, matrix $E'$:

$$E' = UDV^T$$

(14)

such that:

$$D = diag(1,1,0)$$

(15)

and assuming matrix $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ it is possible to separate matrices $[T]_1$ and $R$ from $E'$.

Each of them adopts one of two values thus giving the four possible solutions of matrices combination $[T]_1$, and $R$:

$$[T]_1 = \begin{bmatrix} U_{31} \\ U_{32} \\ U_{33} \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } [T]_2 = \begin{bmatrix} U_{31} \\ -U_{32} \\ U_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

(16)

$$R_1 = UWV^T \text{ or } R_2 = UWVV^T.$$

(17)

All pairs of values ($[T]_1$, $R$) should be examined and the right one shall be chosen. It may be $p_1$ and $p_2$ imaging was generated as a result of projections determined by $M_1$ and $M_2$ matrices (size of 3x4) of $P$ on the imaging planes of camera 1 and camera 2 (Fig. 6) assumed that

$$p_1 = M_1P \text{ or } p_2 = M_2P$$

(18)
\[
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (19)

whereas:
\[
M_2 = H^{-1}
\] (20)

and:
\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
R_i & [T]_i \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

for \(i=1..2, j=1..2\) (21)

then the following system of equations is true:
\[
\begin{align*}
[p_1 \times M_1 P = 0] \\
[p_2 \times M_2 P = 0]
\end{align*}
\]

which can be symbolically expressed as:
\[
BP = 0
\] (23)

P vector may be calculated by subjecting B matrix to SVD decomposition:
\[
B = USV^T
\] (24)

P vector will be equal to the last column of the V matrix. After its normalization, it is necessary to calculate the position of P point with respect to the camera 2, i.e. P’:
\[
P' = M_2 P
\] (25)

If the components of the vectors P and P’ are respectively upstream camera 1 and camera 2, this pair of [T]i, and R (the only one among the four) is correct and they are used in formulas (18) to calculate the coordinates in the model system of all points measured in the image. The model system is a system in which there is an isometry of points position in relation to their equivalents from the real world but the scale is not determined. The scaling effect may be achieved by pointing, at least two points from the point cloud (distance between this two points has to be known variable). The model might be also levelled, by using, at least three non-collinear points from the point cloud. Nowadays on the market there is a wide range of solutions based on photogrammetry and Computer Vision - eg. 3D Scanner ARAMIS, adaptations of Microsoft Kinect or Microsoft Kinect 2.0 and even cameras in smartphones, which allows to obtain point cloud model (Goszczynska B et al. 2015, Nagrodzka-Godycka et al. 2015, Qi et al. 2014). Photogrammetric measurement solution presented in this paper has been implemented and coded as a standalone desktop application (Janowski et al. 2014.1). This standalone application uses synchronous photographs as an input. In presented solution, the algorithm applied to the application has been improved in the term of accuracy and effectiveness. Embedded solution is consistent with the general theory described in the literature (Hartley 1997 W. Wang et al. 2000). Mentioned method has been used as a verification of the measurement results obtained from TLS. Scaling was performed using data from TLS and actual measurements. The accuracy obtained
using digital photogrammetry methods are much higher than measurements using point clouds (Janowski et al. 2005, Janowski et al. 2014.2).

6. Summary and conclusions

The leading goal of this particular study was to determine whether and how TLS might be used in diagnostics of reinforced concrete elements. Authors show that this technology can be used to register a deformation process, examine and measure geometry of reinforced concrete (RC) beams. However, all of these advantages have certain restrictions. TLS boundary conditions and limitations shall designate a level of its potential applications in diagnostic of RC element. Through fuse of photogrammetry and photogrammetrically prepared synchronous photos, it has been established that TLS might be used for the failure analysis of reinforced concrete beams and other concrete elements. Prerequisites described in section 3 designate usability areas of TLS, authors conclude that links usage for signal tags locating is incorrect because it leads to dilution of global coordination system. Signal tags lead themselves to micro-shifts which in macro scope geodesy measurements are meaningless, but they are crucial in deformation measurement. Exclusion of inaccuracies associated with scans bonding and adverse albedo (fraction of reflected shortwave radiation) of concrete surface requires that previously scanned the object and the scanner had to be in the same local coordination system. The position of the scanner during measurement process cannot be changed in relation to the scanned element. At the stage of element preparation, it is recommended to engobe scanned surface in order to provide better contrast between the surface of concrete and expanding cracks, white colour reflects light much more intense than different colours. Resolution of measurement have an impact on the density of point cloud, scan resolution should be predefined before measurement and maintained at fairly high level. Data from TLS about cracks propagation enable to infer which mode of failure occurred, but only in the pre-critical stage. This technology allows creating full three-dimensional, specific, virtual model of the structure with full operability. An important advantage of laser scanning is a speed of measurements, the standard scan may be formed even in 3 minutes. Furthermore, objects might be investigated without any physical contact and laser scanning can penetrate inaccessible areas. The most important advantage of TLS over the classical methods of deformation measurement is complexity and immersion of obtained results.
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